Remarks

Claims 1,3 and 4 were pending in the application and were rejected. By this Amendment, claims 1, 3 and 4 have been cancelled and new claims 5-24 have been added. Reconsideration of the claims is respectfully requested. No new matter has been added.

Objection to the Claims

Claim 4 was objected to due to informalities. This objection is now moot since claim 4 has been cancelled.

Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. §112

Claims 1, 3 and 4 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph as being indefinite. This rejection is now moot since these claims have been cancelled.

Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. § 102

Claims 1 and 3-4 were rejected under § 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,257,853 issued to Elton et al. This rejection is now moot since these claims have been cancelled.

S/N: 10/736,717 Reply to Office Action of January 12, 2005

Amendments to the Drawings:

The attached two sheets of drawings includes changes to Figs. 1-6. These sheets, which includes Figs. 1-6, replace the original sheets including Figs. 1-6.

Attachment: Replacement Sheet

Atty Dkt No. LEAR 04268 PUS (04268)

S/N: 10/736,717

Reply to Office Action of January 12, 2005

Conclusion

Applicants have made a genuine effort to respond to the Examiner's objections and rejections in advancing the prosecution of this case. Applicants believe all formal and substantive requirements for patentability have been met and that this case is in condition for allowance, which action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

ERIC VEINE et al.

Matthew M. Mietzel

Reg. No. 46,929

Attorney for Applicant

Date: February 14, 2005

BROOKS KUSHMAN P.C.

1000 Town Center, 22nd Floor Southfield, MI 48075-1238

Phone: 248-358-4400 Fax: 248-358-3351